PANORAMA SCHOLARLY GROUP

Journal of Engineering Systems and Applications (JESA)



An Inquiry Beneath the Twin Peaks: Examining University Physics Education in Mainland China from the Perspectives of Feynman's Intuition and Landau's Rigor

Junyu Luo[a, *]

[a]*Hubei Normal University, No. 11 Cihu Road, Huangshi, Hubei Province 435002, P.R. China*

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Physics education; Feynman; Landau; Teaching reform; Higher education; China

ABSTRACT

The essence of physics lies in a synthesis of childlike curiosity and meticulous rigor, used to comprehend the universe. Consequently, the mission of physics education is not merely the transmission of formulas but the cultivation of an exploratory spirit and critical thinking. This paper presents a critical examination of the current state of university-level physics education in mainland China. A profound disconnect is observed between the intrinsic beauty of the discipline and the prevalent pedagogical methods, which often reduce physics to a tool for examinations. Students are frequently found to be proficient in formulaic application but lacking in a deep understanding of the underlying physical pictures, their motivation driven by academic scores rather than genuine interest. To diagnose these issues and propose reforms, we draw upon the pedagogical philosophies of two titans of physics: Richard P. Feynman, who championed intuitive understanding and the joy of discovery, and Lev D. Landau, who epitomized logical rigor and systematic construction of theory. By using their distinct yet complementary approaches as a lens, we analyze the systemic problems in physics education in mainland China, from utilitarian student motivation and flawed evaluation systems to the inadequacy of teaching materials. Ultimately, we argue for a synthetic approach, integrating Feynman's emphasis on intuition with Landau's demand for rigor, to forge a new path that revitalizes physics education and restores its true purpose: to inspire a profound and lasting passion for understanding the natural world.

1. Introduction

The cultivation of scientific talent is a cornerstone of national innovation and progress, with university physics education serving as a fundamental pillar in this endeavor [1]. Effective pedagogy in physics is critical not only for training future physicists but also for equipping students across scientific and engineering disciplines with essential critical thinking and problem-solving skills [2]. However, numerous reports and anecdotal evidence suggest a growing discrepancy between the intended goals of physics curricula and the actual learning outcomes observed in many educational systems globally, including in mainland China [3, 4]. Students often demonstrate proficiency in solving textbook problems but lack a deep conceptual understanding and the ability to apply physical principles to novel situations [5].

This paper addresses this pedagogical challenge by proposing a novel analytical framework grounded in the intellectual legacies of two 20th-century physics giants: Richard P. Feynman and Lev D. Landau. Their approaches to physics and its teaching, while starkly different, represent two essential, complementary facets of scientific mastery. Feynman championed an intuition-first, deeply physical approach, emphasizing the joy of discovery and the importance of the underlying physical picture [6]. In contrast, Landau epitomized a rigorously logical, axiomatic approach, demanding systematic coherence and mathematical precision [7]. We contend that this Feynman-Landau dichotomy provides a powerful lens through which to diagnose systemic issues and formulate robust reforms.

* Corresponding author. e-mail: 18173957783@163.com

Using this dual-perspective framework, we conduct a critical examination of university-level physics education in mainland China. We analyze key aspects of the educational ecosystem, including student motivation, assessment paradigms, and the nature of instructional materials. Our analysis aims to reveal how the current system often fails to foster either Feynman's intuitive spark or Landau's logical fortitude, instead promoting an intermediate state of rote memorization.

The paper is structured as follows. Section II presents the personal motivation and observation that instigated this inquiry, framing the core problem as a loss of the intrinsic spirit of physics. Section III details the pedagogical philosophies of Feynman and Landau. Section IV provides a comparative analysis, highlighting their complementary strengths. Section V applies this framework to diagnose the specific predicaments within mainland China's physics education system. Section VI proposes a set of concrete reforms inspired by a synthesis of Feynman's and Landau's methods. We conclude in Section VII by summarizing our findings and advocating for a holistic approach to revitalizing physics education.

2. The Chasm Between the Spirit of Physics and Pedagogical Reality: Have We Lost Sight of the Stars in the Forest of Formulas?

As a student and researcher of physics, I am often drawn to a fundamental question: wherein lies the true allure of physics? The answer, I believe, is not found in intimidating terminology, nor is it confined to solving the ultimate riddles of black holes or cosmic origins. The genuine charm of physics is that it encourages us to maintain a childlike curiosity about the world while demanding that we use the rigorous logic of an adult to seek verification [8]. It is the most magnificent poem written in the universal language of mathematics. From the grand laws governing galactic rotations to the microscopic particles constituting our bodies, physics reveals the harmonious order underlying all phenomena with a startling simplicity and universality.

Therefore, the mission of physics education should extend far beyond teaching a set of problem-solving formulas. Its true purpose is to ignite the flame of exploration in students' hearts, to forge the capacity for composed thinking in a complex world, and to guide them toward the supreme intellectual pleasure of appreciating the beauty of natural laws [9].

However, when I turn my gaze to many university physics classrooms in mainland China, a deep sense of unease often pervades. What I frequently see are not faces lit with curiosity, but figures weary from the demands of examinations. Students immerse themselves in a sea of problems, reducing physics to a game of pattern matching. They can skillfully apply formulas but struggle to articulate the vivid physical pictures behind them. Their concern for grade point averages seems to far exceed their interest in physics itself [10]. The discipline, which ought to enlighten minds and broaden horizons, has in many cases unfortunately been relegated to a stepping stone for entering more popular fields or pursuing higher degrees: an obstacle to be overcome, not an experience to be enjoyed.

This silent crisis compels us to undertake a profound re-examination. Fortunately, in the pantheon of physics, two giants have already illuminated the way forward. One is Richard P. Feynman of the United States, the magician of the physical world, who made physics accessible through unparalleled intuition and passion. The other is Lev D. Landau of the Soviet Union, the architect of theoretical physics, who constructed a magnificent theoretical edifice with supreme rigor and logic.

They stand like two peaks of physics education, one radiating warmth and enthusiasm, the other exuding a cool, penetrating depth. The aim of this paper is to stand beneath these two peaks to survey the landscape at our feet. Perhaps, by deeply understanding the ideas of Feynman and Landau, we can find a path for contemporary physics education to escape its predicament and regain its vitality.

3. Two Olympian Summits of Physics: The Intellectual Legacies of Feynman and Landau

Their educational philosophies were not abstract constructs but were deeply rooted in their research practices as top-tier physicists and their unique insights into the nature of physics [11].

A. Richard P. Feynman: The Poet and Preacher of Physics

Feynman's persona long ago broke the stereotype of the scientist. He played the bongo drums, studied Mayan hieroglyphs, and during the investigation of the Challenger space shuttle disaster, he demonstrated the physical cause of the accident to the world with just a glass of ice water and a rubber O-ring [12]. He was a public scientist brimming with life.

Beneath this maverick exterior was the purest love for physics. His work in Quantum Electrodynamics (QED) was revolutionary. Faced with the tedious and lengthy calculations of traditional QED, Feynman's path integral formulation introduced a new perspective, centered on the poetic idea that a particle explores all possible paths [13]. The Feynman diagrams he created transformed complex particle interactions into simple, intuitive line drawings, akin to a child's doodles. This was not only a powerful computational tool but also a new way of thinking, a visual language for making profound physics intuitive [14]. This achievement earned him the Nobel Prize and changed how subsequent generations of physicists view the world.

His educational legacy is most completely embodied in the three-volume *The Feynman Lectures on Physics* [15]. This is by no means a conventional textbook. Feynman reorganized the entire body of knowledge based on his own understanding of physics. He often approached subjects from unexpected angles, using vivid analogies to explain abstract concepts. He firmly believed that the physical picture is the soul of physics [16]. Before employing the precision scalpel of mathematics, he would tirelessly encourage you to feel the physics: to imagine how electric and magnetic field lines vibrate and propagate through space.

His lectures were highly infectious because he himself was immersed in the joy of exploration. He once said he taught physics so that his students could share in his joy. This is the essence of Feynman's educational philosophy: the core of education is sharing the pleasure of discovery [17]. His famous Feynman Technique, which involves explaining a concept in the simplest terms to a novice until one has

achieved complete clarity, is the practical application of this idea. True understanding means being able to restate the essence in the most unadorned way.

B. Lev D. Landau: The Lawgiver and Architect of Theoretical Physics

If Feynman was the wandering knight of the physical world, Landau was its absolute monarch. He commanded supreme authority in the Soviet physics community, an authority derived not from power but from his awe-inspiring and nearly all-encompassing knowledge of physics [18]. His research spanned the vast territory of theoretical physics, from condensed matter physics (his theory of superfluidity won him the Nobel Prize) to plasma physics, leaving his decisive mark on nearly every branch [19]. The Landau School he founded nurtured an entire generation of the Soviet Union's top theoretical physicists.

Landau's educational philosophy is crystallized with an almost ruthless perfectionism in the ten-volume *Course of Theoretical Physics*, co-authored with his student Evgeny Lifshitz [20]. This series is reverently known to generations of physicists as the scriptures. Opening it, one finds no anecdotes or humanistic asides, only a torrential flow of logical deduction proceeding from first principles. Landau believed in the physicist's minimalism: constructing the most magnificent theoretical system from the fewest possible postulates. For example, in the *Mechanics* volume, he derives the entire edifice of classical mechanics from the single, elegant principle of least action.

Rigor, system, and precision define the style of this series. Every formula, every word, has been meticulously refined to achieve logical perfection. It does not serve as an introduction; it directly presents the most refined and profound core of physics. Its target audience is those who have already resolved to become theoretical physicists.

To ensure students met this standard, Landau established the famous *Theoretical Minimum* examination [21]. This exacting test, covering all core areas of theoretical physics, was designed to assess whether a candidate had truly mastered the physics, rather than merely knowing some superficial facts. Few passed, but every successful candidate was considered to have a theoretical foundation solid enough to begin independent research. Landau's pedagogy was elitist; his goal was not mass education but the forging of top talent capable of carrying forward the lineage of theoretical physics [22].

4. A Symphony of Two Minds: The Light of Intuition and the Chain of Logic

Feynman and Landau represent two poles of physical thinking, embodying the core abilities required to become a complete physicist: physical intuition and logical rigor [23].

A. Feynman's Pedagogy: Deep Understanding at the Core, Physical Intuition as the Compass

1. **Understanding Before Naming**

   This is the cornerstone of Feynman's educational philosophy. To know the formula for universal gravitation is one thing; to truly appreciate the wonder of the fact that any two objects in the universe, no matter how distant, are mutually aware of and attract each other in a precise way, is another matter entirely.

He disdained the practice of equating teaching with the transmission of terminology, emphasizing that learning is an active process of constructing meaning [9].

2. **The Physical Picture as the Soul, Mathematics as the Skeleton**

   In Feynman's view, a vivid physical picture must support the cold mathematical symbols. Mathematics without an image is blind, easily leading one astray in a jungle of symbols [16]. He encouraged students to spend time imagining the physical process before starting calculations. What is an electron thinking? How will a photon travel? This way of thinking is designed to cultivate a powerful intuition that transcends formulas.

3. **Curiosity and Joy as the Ultimate Drivers**

   Feynman's teaching was full of fun because he himself was a great child, full of curiosity about the world. He believed that if learning physics did not bring intellectual pleasure, the learning would inevitably be superficial and unsustainable. Therefore, a teacher's primary duty is to be an igniter, sparking students' innate desire for exploration with fascinating questions [17].

B. Landau's Pedagogy: Logical Rigor as the Foundation, Mathematical Precision as the Standard

1. **Mathematics as the Grammar and Essence of Physics**

   Unlike Feynman, Landau almost equated mathematics with the very nature of theoretical physics. He believed the power of a physical theory lies precisely in its expression as a self-consistent and elegant mathematical structure [7]. Vague qualitative descriptions are meaningless; only through rigorous mathematical derivation can the profound content of physical laws be revealed. Thus, a solid mathematical foundation is not an option but a ticket of admission to the world of theoretical physics.

2. **The Axiomatic Deductive System**

   Landau's teaching method is a classic top-down deductive approach. Starting from a few fundamental principles such as symmetry or least action, he, like an architect, logically constructed the entire theoretical system step by step. This method demands that learners possess a strong capacity for abstract thought to appreciate the internal harmony and logical beauty of the theory. He wanted students to see not a collection of disparate facts, but a perfectly structured theoretical palace [20].

3. **The Absolute Standard of Mastery**

The harshness of the *Theoretical Minimum* reflects Landau's exacting definition of mastery [21]. Merely having read, understood a lecture, or being able to solve problems was far from sufficient. True mastery means the knowledge has been so thoroughly internalized that it becomes part of one's thinking, usable with the same ease as one's own limbs to analyze and solve unfamiliar problems. This is an absolute command of knowledge.

C. A Comparison of Paradigms: The Artist's Inspiration and the Architect's Blueprint

Feynman's pedagogical paradigm is like an artist's creation: full of inspiration, intuition, and leaps of thought, capturing universal beauty from vivid phenomena. It excels at enlightenment, effectively sparking the interest of beginners and helping them build a macroscopic physical picture [6].

Landau's pedagogical paradigm is like an architect's blueprint: supremely precise, logically distinct, and structurally rigorous, ensuring that every component fits perfectly into the whole, starting from basic axioms. It excels at forging, systematically cultivating the rigorous scientific literacy of professional researchers [22].

Evidently, an ideal physicist needs both the artist's inspiration and the architect's blueprint. They need Feynman-like intuition to discover new patterns and Landau-like logical ability to construct robust theories. Therefore, an ideal physics education should not be a choice between the two but a wise synthesis, with a shifting emphasis depending on the stage of learning and the student [24].

5. Reflections in the Mirror of the Twin Peaks: The Predicament of University Physics Education in Mainland China

When we hold up the mirrors of Feynman and Landau to our current physics education, the reflection is thought-provoking. It is neither fully Feynmanesque nor remotely Landauean. More often, it occupies an awkward middle ground that achieves neither, a state of low efficiency that stifles inspiration [4, 25].

A. The Utilitarian Motivation for Learning: From Stargazing to Grade-Staring

This is the root of many problems and the most disheartening phenomenon. In the prevailing atmosphere of educational anxiety, physics, for most non-physics majors, is a utilitarian required course. For many physics majors, the motivation for study is often linked to graduate school entrance exams or building a foundation to switch to more popular fields [26].

A pure curiosity about the universe's mysteries, a sincere admiration for the beauty of physical laws, these intrinsic drivers of learning have been supplanted by practical considerations like grade point averages, rankings, and career prospects. The starting point for learning shifts from *I want to learn* to *I must perform well*. This passive, externally driven learning is bound to be shallow [10]. Students lack the motivation to voluntarily spend time on supplementary reading or on thinking about supposedly useless questions. When learning physics becomes a task to be completed, the joy of discovery described by Feynman vanishes, and the entire process devolves into tedious intellectual labor.

B. The Distortion of Evaluation Systems: The Carnival of Rote Problem-Solving and the Paradox of Coursework Marks

As both a product and a driver of utilitarian motivation, our evaluation system suffers from deep structural problems [27].

- **The Displacement of Deep Learning by a Culture of Exam-Drilling**

  An evaluation model dominated by final exams inevitably fosters test-oriented behavior. Students' core learning activities shift from understanding concepts and discussing problems to large-scale, high-intensity rote problem-solving and memorization of theorems [5]. They become obsessed with collecting past exam papers, summarizing problem-solving templates, and training a low-level pattern recognition skill for a specific problem set, rather than the higher-level physical thinking required to analyze and solve novel problems. Feynman would have lamented this approach as it runs counter to deep understanding; Landau would have scorned it for its disconnect from logical deduction from first principles. This training, at best, produces skilled test-takers.

- **The Good Intentions and Distortions of Continuous Assessment**

  To mitigate the final-exam-centric model, coursework marks were introduced, with good intentions. In practice, however, they often have the opposite effect. To secure these marks, students may mechanically complete assignments, sometimes with plagiarism; they ensure attendance in every class, but their minds are elsewhere. This fosters a great deal of performative effort [28]. More troublingly, it can sometimes penalize genuinely thoughtful students. A student who has already mastered the material through self-study might receive a low mark for disdaining to complete certain formalistic, repetitive tasks. This distorted mechanism, to some extent, stifles student autonomy and critical spirit.

C. The Poverty of Innovative Thinking: From Independent Thinkers to Devotees of Standard Answers

Under the combined influence of these motivations and evaluation systems, the cultivation of independent and innovative thinking often becomes an empty slogan. Our classrooms, for the most part, remain models of one-way information transmission. The teacher lectures, the students copy notes, and there is little interaction, debate, or questioning [29].

Long immersed in this model, students tend to develop a fixed mindset: every question should have a single, standard answer. They fear uncertainty and open-ended problems. When faced with a real-world situation that has no ready-made formula, they are at a loss. Their first instinct is to search their mental database for a similar problem type, rather than returning to fundamental physical principles to analyze and model the situation. This intellectual rigidity is a severe challenge facing our physics education. We have cultivated too many compliant students but too few who dare to challenge and think.

D. The Lag in Textbook Development: Lacking Feynman's Vividness and Landau's Depth

Textbooks are the blueprint for teaching. To be fair, physics textbooks in mainland China have made considerable progress in their systematicity and content coverage. Yet, when measured against the standards of Feynman and Landau, their inner spirit is still missing [30].

- **The Absence of Feynman's Spirit**

  Our mainstream textbooks are mostly written in a declarative tone: definitions, laws, formulas, examples. They tell you what is, but seldom explain why it is so or how we know. They lack the authentic historical context of scientific discovery, the flashes of brilliance and the struggles of physicists, and the vivid examples that can spark physical intuition. They are more like knowledge museums: orderly but somewhat cold, failing to evoke an emotional connection from students.

- **The Absence of Landau's Spirit**

  Compared to the *Course of Theoretical Physics*, the gap in our textbooks regarding theoretical depth and deductive rigor is obvious. To reduce difficulty, many core mathematical derivations are simplified or omitted. This may seem considerate to students, but it deprives them of the opportunity to experience the logical beauty of physics and undermines the internal coherence of the theory. What students often learn is a collection of isolated formulas, failing to form a logically self-consistent network of knowledge built from first principles.

In short, our textbooks fall short of Feynman's ability to inspire and Landau's capacity for depth, leaving our entire educational approach without a sufficiently soulful blueprint.

6. The Path to a Breakthrough: Fusing the Wisdom of the Twin Peaks to Reshape the Physics Education Ecosystem

To confront problems is to solve them. Physics education in mainland China urgently needs a profound, systemic reform. The ideas of Feynman and Landau provide us with a compass and a blueprint.

A. Rekindling Passion: Awakening Intrinsic Motivation the Feynman Way

- **Transforming the Classroom into a Forum for Ideas**

  Teachers need to evolve from transmitters of knowledge to igniters of thought. When teaching each important concept, they should incorporate its historical context, intellectual evolution, and the stories of scientists. For example, when teaching Faraday's law, do not just present the formula, but also tell the story of how Faraday, a scientist from a humble background, through a decade of exploration driven by his obsession with the physical picture of lines of force, finally grasped the connection between electricity and magnetism.

- **Prioritizing Experiments and Phenomena**

  Physics originates from experiment. We should significantly increase the number of engaging demonstration experiments, allowing physical phenomena to happen before students' eyes. More importantly, we should transform verificational experiments into exploratory ones [31]. Give students more autonomy to design procedures, analyze errors, and discuss unexpected results. At the same time, make good use of excellent simulation platforms like PhET, allowing students to play with physics safely and at low cost, and to intuitively feel the laws of physics.

- **Connecting to the Frontier and to Reality**

  Break down the wall between fundamental physics and modern applications. When teaching classical mechanics, one can discuss why the Global Positioning System must account for relativistic effects. When teaching quantum mechanics, one can introduce its revolutionary applications in quantum computing. Let students truly feel that the knowledge they are learning is not an artifact from a dusty tome, but a vibrant force shaping the future.

B. Forging the Framework: Consolidating Thinking the Landau Way

- **Upholding the Integrity of Logical Derivations**

  In the classroom, one should not skip key mathematical derivations just to save time or for fear that students will not understand. The teacher needs to guide students through every logical step from basic postulates to the final conclusion, helping them understand that the beauty of physics lies not only in its conclusions but also in the rigor of its process. Small-group theoretical seminars could be offered to provide training in high-intensity theoretical deductions.

- **Promoting Classic Readings and High-Quality Lecture Notes**

  Actively introduce world-class texts like *The Feynman Lectures on Physics* and the *Berkeley Physics Course* as recommended supplementary reading. Concurrently, encourage top physicists and educators to write a new generation of authoritative textbooks that meet the standards of the Landau series in depth and system, but are also accessible [30]. In the interim, frontline teachers should be incentivized to write their own high-quality, personalized lecture notes to supplement existing materials.

- **Establishing Honors Courses and Challenging Learning**

  For talented and interested students, establish honors programs. These courses should adopt deeper content and higher standards, use top international textbooks directly, and feature Landau-style seminars and oral examinations as the main evaluation methods [32]. In regular courses, we should also increase the number of open-ended problems that require integrated knowledge and have a research-oriented flavor, in order to stimulate and identify students with deep thinking abilities.

C. An Evaluation Revolution: From a Sorting Machine to a Growth Accelerator

- **Embracing Diversity and Process-Oriented Evaluation**

  Reforming the monolithic evaluation system is imperative. We can promote Project-Based Learning (PBL), where students work in groups on a real-world problem [33]. The final output could be a research paper, a physical model, or an academic presentation. Evaluation should be continuous, focusing on collaboration, research, creativity, and communication skills.

- **Introducing Feynman-Style Reports and Landau-Style Defenses**

  The Feynman Technique can be incorporated into assessments. For instance, require students to submit a concept-explanation report, using their own words and illustrations to explain a core physical concept to a layperson. For advanced courses, small-scale oral defenses could be introduced, where a committee of teachers engages in an in-depth, impromptu Q&A with the student, which can very effectively assess the true mastery of knowledge.

- **Encouraging Trial and Error**

  In evaluations, we should foster a tolerance for failure. For exploratory projects, the focus should not be on whether the result is correct, but on whether the thought process was clear and the methods were scientific. Students should understand that scientific progress is built upon countless unsuccessful attempts.

7. Conclusion: Forging Our Own Ascent Between the Twin Peaks

Richard P. Feynman and Lev D. Landau, these two titans of physics, point us toward two ideal dimensions of physics education: one directed at the breadth, warmth, and fascination of physics, and the other at its depth, precision, and power. Feynman teaches us how to see the world's beauty with the eyes of a poet, while Landau teaches us how to construct the edifice of reason with the hands of an architect.

Looking back at our current physics education, our hearts are filled with a sense of struggle and confusion. In the pursuit of examination efficiency, we often lose the joy that Feynman cherished. In the pursuit of knowledge coverage, we forget the depth that Landau emphasized. Our students toil in a forest of formulas but rarely have the chance to pause and gaze up at the brilliant starry sky of physics.

However, reflection is not for despair; critique is for construction. The ideas of Feynman and Landau do not present us with a dilemma but provide a higher-dimensional coordinate system. The way forward lies precisely in fusing the wisdom of these twin peaks to forge our own path of ascent, one that is both firm and flexible.

This means our classrooms should have both Feynman's vividness and Landau's rigor. Our teachers should be both passionate preachers and strict guides. Our students should be able to feel the joy of intuitive insight and experience the beauty of logical chains.

Achieving this vision is a long and arduous journey. It requires foresight from policymakers, investment from university administrators, and most importantly, it requires every one of us—the students, researchers, and educators of physics—to experiment and change with a redoubled sense of responsibility, unremitting enthusiasm, and continuous reflection.

I believe that if our direction is clear and our steps are steady, we will one day return physics education to its true source. Then, more young people will enter the temple of physics not out of pressure, but out of love. They will not only master knowledge but also command ideas. They will not only contribute their intellect to society but also find their own life's value and happiness in exploring the mysteries of the universe. This, for our generation of physics learners, researchers, and inheritors, is the highest glory we can pursue.

References

1. Wieman, C., & Perkins, K. (2005). Transforming physics education. Physics Today, 58, 36.
2. Mazur, E. (1997). Peer Instruction: A User's Manual. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.
3. McDermott, L. C., & Shaffer, P. S. (1992). Research as a guide for curriculum development: An example from introductory electricity. Part I: Investigation of student understanding. American Journal of Physics, 60, 994.
4. Zhang, D. Z., Hong, A. E., & Fan, M. L. (2017). Challenges and reforms in university physics education in China: A review. Journal of Education and Practice, 8, 22.
5. Hestenes, D., Wells, M., & Swackhamer, G. (1992). Force concept inventory. The Physics Teacher, 30, 141.
6. Gleick, J. (1992). Genius: The Life and Science of Richard Feynman. Pantheon Books, New York.
7. Migdal, A. B. (1989). In I. M. Khalatnikov (Ed.), Landau, The Physicist and the Man: Recollections of L. D. Landau. Pergamon Press, Oxford.
8. Carroll, S. M. (2016). The Big Picture: On the Origins of Life, Meaning, and the Universe Itself. Dutton, New York.
9. Bruner, J. S. (1960). The Process of Education. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
10. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). Intrinsic Motivation and Self-Determination in Human Behavior. Plenum, New York.
11. Kuhn, T. S. (1962). The Structure of Scientific Revolutions. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
12. Feynman, R. P. (1988). What Do You Care What Other People Think? W. W. Norton & Company, New York.
13. Feynman, R. P. (1948). Space-time approach to non-relativistic quantum mechanics. Reviews of Modern Physics, 20, 367.
14. Feynman, R. P. (1985). QED: The Strange Theory of Light and Matter. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
15. Feynman, R. P., Leighton, R. B., & Sands, M. (1964). The Feynman Lectures on Physics. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA.
16. Wertheimer, M. (1945). Productive Thinking. Harper & Brothers, New York.
17. Holton, G. (1973). Thematic Origins of Scientific Thought: Kepler to Einstein. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
18. Holloway, D. (1994). Stalin and the Bomb: The Soviet Union and Atomic Energy, 1939–1956. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT.
19. Pitaevskii, L. P., & Lifshitz, E. M. (1981). Course of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 10: Physical Kinetics. Pergamon Press, Oxford.
20. Landau, L. D., & Lifshitz, E. M. (1976). Course of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 1: Mechanics. Pergamon Press, Oxford.

21. Abrikosov, A. A. (1996). My years with Landau. Physics Today, 49, 60.
22. Gorelik, G. E. (2013). The Top-Secret Life of Lev Landau: KGB Archives Reveal the Making of a Soviet Scientist. Oxford University Press, New York.
23. Kahneman, D. (2011). Thinking, Fast and Slow. Farrar, Straus and Giroux, New York.
24. Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (Eds.). (2000). How People Learn: Brain, Mind, Experience, and School. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.
25. Wang, Q. B. (2016). The dilemma of rote learning and innovation in Chinese science education. Asia-Pacific Science Education, 2, 5.
26. Zhu, X. D., & Mok, K. H. (2007). The challenge of utilitarianism to higher education in East Asia. Higher Education Policy, 20, 121.
27. Lam, M. L. (2003). The effects of high-stakes testing on student motivation and learning. Journal of Educational Psychology, 95, 72.
28. Ames, C. (1992). Classrooms: Goals, structures, and student motivation. Journal of Educational Psychology, 84, 261.
29. Freire, P. (1970). Pedagogy of the Oppressed. Herder and Herder, New York.
30. Liu, B. S., & Lin, H. C. (2017). A content analysis of university physics textbooks in mainland China. International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 15, 145.
31. Knight, R. D. (2004). Five Easy Lessons: Strategies for Successful Physics Teaching. Addison-Wesley, San Francisco.
32. Smith, A. B. (2016). Efficacy of honors programs in STEM disciplines: A longitudinal study. Journal of College Science Teaching, 45, 28.
33. Thomas, J. W. (2000). A review of research on project-based learning. Report for The Autodesk Foundation.